)	Ü	5
---	---	---

NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST									
Site Name: NEASE Chamical Location: Salem, Ohio Region: I									
Note: Calculate acores to 2 decimal places.									
GROUNDWATER ROUTE Scores SURFACE WATER ROUTE Scores							Scuree	New York	
<del></del>	Drigine!		Diff	, p		Origina		Diff	
1. OBSERVED RELEASE	45	45		1. 0	BSERVED RELEASE	45	75		
Depth to Aquifer of Concern	_	-	-	Facil Inter	ity Slope and vening Terrain	_		-	
Net Precipitation			-	1-Yes	r. 24-Hour Rainfall				
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone		-	-		nce to Nearest		-	-	
Physical State	~		-	Physi	cal State	-	-	-	
2. TOTAL ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS	-	-	-		OTAL ROUTE HARACTERISTICS	_	-	-	
3. CONTAINMENT	-	_	-	3. c	ONTAINMENT			-	
Toxicity/Persistence	18	18	-	Toxic	ity/Persistence	18	18	_	
Hazardous Waste Quantity	8	8		Hazat	dous Waste Quantity	8	8	-	
4. TOTAL WASTE' CHARACTERISTICS	26	26	-		OTAL WASTE HARACTERISTICS	26	26		
Groundwater Use	9	9		Surfa	ce Water Use	6	6	_	
Distance to Nearest Well/Population Served	35	30	5		nce to a Sensitive	4	0	4	
5. TOTAL TARGETS	44	39	5		ation Served/	0	0	_	
GROUNDWATER		nce to Water Intake	10		4				
89.79 79.59 10.20				SURFACE WATER ROUTE SUBTOTAL					
AIR ROUTE	Original Crimal		Diff		SURFACE MAT	IN IC	10.91	2 22	
1. OBSERVED RELEASE	0	0	-		ı	18-18	$VG \sim I$		
Reactivity and Incom- patibility					AGGREGATE S	ITE RANKI	ING		
Toxicity				Original QA Diff 52.95 46.44 6.51			Diff		
Hazardous Waste Quantity	•					32.75	76.77	8.0	
2. TOTAL WASTE . CHARACTERISTICS				REASONS FOR SCORE DIFFERENCES (Use other side if necessary)					
Population Within 4 Mile						ry)			
Distance to Sensitive Environment				G.W	Majority of jor roads se ral water dis	c home	os alo	ng	
Land Use				ma	jor roads se	rved i	by city	or	
3. TOTAL TARGETS				ru	ral water dis	trict	/		
AIR ROUTE S	UBTOTAL			511.					
	0.0	0.0	-	upg	Vetlands show vadient from	n on .	tepe p succ	raf ave are 40	
EPA Region 5 Rec	ords Ctr.			•		_			
				NAME OF	REVIEWER: 01	ck sh	aphyn	<del></del>	
231101				DATE .	10 1	187			

## QUALITY ASSURANCE SUMMARY SHEET NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST

FIRE AND EXPLOSION		cores		DIRECT CONTACT	Scores				
	Original	QA	Diff		Original	QA_	Diff		
1. CONTAINMENT			ļ	1. DBSERVED INCIDENT	0	0			
Direct Evidence				2. ACCESSIBILITY	3	3	-		
Ignitability		<u> </u>		3. CONTAINMENT	15	15			
Reactivity Incompatibility				4. WASTE CHARACTER- ISTICS Toxicity	15	15	_		
Hazardous Waste Quantity				Population Within 1- Mile Radius	12	8	4		
2. TOTAL WASTE CHARACTERISTICS		<del>,</del>		Distance to a Critical Habitat	0	0	-		
Distance to Nearest Population				5. TOTAL TARGETS	12	8	4		
Distance to Nearest Building				DIRECT CO	INTACT TOT				
Distance to Sensitive Environment					37.50	2500	12-50		
Land Use  Population Within a 2- Mile Radius				REASONS FOR SCORE DIFFERENCES (Use other side if necessary)					
Buildings Within 2 Mile				·					
3. TOTAL TARGETS			<u> </u>						
FIRE AND EXP	LOSION TOT	AL	•	•					

NAME OF REVIEWER: Dick Sheehan

DATE: 10/6/82

